

**KINNF Steering Group Minutes**  
**20<sup>th</sup> November 2019**  
**Yellow Arch Studios**



**Steering Group Officers present:**

Andy Cook (Chair)  
 Chris McKinney (Deputy Chair)  
 Anders Hanson (Transport Working Group lead)  
 Ben McGarry (KINCA)  
 Matt Pinder (Social Media)  
 Gavin Martin (Business Working Group lead)

**Other KINNF Members present:**

Ali Heath-Cook (Yellow Arch Studios)

**Apologies from Members:**

Daniel Gordon (Secretary)  
 Rob McMenemy (Arts/Culture Working Group lead)  
 Adam Bayliss (Green Working Group lead)  
 Amy Lockwood (Treasurer)  
 Cllr Douglas Johnson (Local Ward Councillor)  
 Cllr Martin Phipps (Local Ward Councillor)  
 Cllr Ruth Mersereau (Local Ward Councillor)

**Minutes and Actions**

Meeting called to order at 7.30pm by Andy Cook.

<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Minutes</b>	<b>Actions</b>
1. Introductions	Members introduced themselves as necessary	
2. Approval of previous minutes	Proposed as true record by Ben McGarry, seconded by Gavin Martin.	<i>CM to arrange for uploading to web</i>
3. Initial findings of Consultation Exercise	<p>CM ran through the feedback from the completed representation forms, highlighting the following response information.</p> <p>119 responses were received during the specified consultation period and 6 late responses were also received. These were from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 112 residents or business owners / employees</li> <li>• Historic England</li> <li>• The Coal Authority</li> <li>• Natural England</li> </ul>	

- National Grid
- DLP Ltd.
- Sheffield City Council Estates promoting 4 sites
- DLP Ltd. promoting 1 site

Q1 Which of these things do you think should be the most important drivers in how Kelham Island and Neepsend should change?

83.9% of respondents - Introduce greater levels of greenery to the area

77.1% of respondents - Protect historic character of the area

58.5% of respondents - Introduce more public spaces

Q3 Scale of Development – What is your view on the following statements?

80 respondents - Agree with the statement that development should respect the industrial character of the area

80 respondents - Strongly disagree with the statement that height of new development doesn't matter

66 respondents - Disagree with the statement that they don't want to see new development

55 respondents - Agree with the statement that tall buildings are ok but not taller than the historic streetscape

Q4 Type of Uses – What is your view on the following statements?

62 respondents - Disagree with the statement that houses and businesses should be kept apart

53 respondents - Agree with the statement that mixed use development is my preference

57 respondents - Agree with the statement that streets should have active frontages

Q5 Materials and Design – What is your view on the following statements?

48 respondents - Disagree with the statement that new buildings should stand out from existing buildings

59 respondents - No view on the statement that modern materials are my preference

50 respondents - Agree with the statement that traditional materials should be used

Q6 Type of tenure – What is your preference?

86 respondents - Mix of tenures

37 respondents - Flats / houses to buy

Only 8 respondents - Flats / houses to rent

Q7 Size of residential accommodation – What is your preference?

65 respondents - Plan for more 3 bedroom units

48 respondents - Plan for more 2 bedroom units

Only 11 respondents - Plan for more 1 bedroom units

Quite a lot of respondents asking for a mix of sizes

Q8 Size of business accommodation – What is your preference?

82 respondents - Plan for more retail

70 respondents - Plan for more start up units

Q9 Construction and facilities – What is your preference?

97 respondents - Developments should aid the development of cycling and walking networks

96 respondents - Developments should incorporate renewable energy generation

94 respondents - Developments should provide new public spaces

Q10 What, if any, new facilities, shops or services do you think we should plan for?

100 respondents - Public Spaces

82 respondents - Doctor surgery

72 respondents - Post office

Q11 What, if any, type of buildings do you think we should protect?

114 respondents - Historic buildings

101 respondents - Open Spaces

88 respondents - Buildings that provide community uses

Q12 What features or areas of the Kelham Island and Neepsend environment and public realm should we protect or enhance?

116 respondents - River Don

108 respondents - Wildlife features

105 respondents - Trees

This information was discussed by the Steering Group and the strong response from residents of the area was noted. Key items noted was the continuing request from residents for greater greenery in the Neighbourhood Area and for the historic character of the area to be respected. Consultation feedback highlighted the strong

	<p>desire for new developments to be mixed use in nature and to provide more family style residential units.</p> <p>Within Q2 regarding a vision for the Neighbourhood Plan, the Steering Group noted that a common theme from the consultation responses was that the area should be seen as a community and not just as a quarter where people come to socialise/drink and that residential development should not push out existing businesses.</p> <p>CM highlighted the response from Historic England in which they stated their surprise that heritage did not feature as a Working Group in response to the significance of Kelham Island’s historic environment. BM noted that the Working Group themes had been derived from the KINCA survey dating from 2017, which didn’t specifically mention heritage. AC noted that heritage did however feature strongly within the initial consultation exercise feedback. CM suggested that it would be appropriate in light of this feedback to expand the Arts / Culture Working Group to include Heritage. This suggestion was considered and agreed unanimously by the Steering Group members. It was also agreed that due to expanded scope a job-share officer should be sought to support RM.</p> <p>CM advised that he would be preparing a Consultation Statement setting out the responses in more detail in the forthcoming weeks.</p> <p>To support this work, AC asked members of the Steering Group to familiarise themselves with the detailed responses and for Working Group Leads in particular to look at the comments relating to their areas and to send their thoughts to all Steering Group members.</p> <p>CM advised that the feedback from this consultation would inform the options that will be developed for public consultation in 2020.</p>	<p><i>Expand scope of Working Group to Heritage / Arts / Culture.</i></p> <p><i>Steering Group members to consider potential job-share officer for Heritage / Arts / Culture Working Group.</i></p> <p><i>CM to prepare Consultation Statement before January Steering Group meeting.</i></p> <p><i>Steering Group members to consider and send thoughts before January Steering Group meeting.</i></p>
4. AOB	It was noted that KINNF needed greater engagement with the existing business community.	<i>AC to set up KI&amp;N Business Forum meeting - provisional scheduled for Mid-Jan 2020</i>

	<p>BM and CM reported on the success of the University of Sheffield architectural students live project on the Kelham Island and Neepsend Neighbourhood Area and the final report was shared with the Steering Group. This would shortly be going on the KINCA website. BM asked all members to view and comment back accordingly, highlighting any useful points made and we might consider for inclusion in the neighbourhood plan.</p>	<p><i>BM / CM to add final report to KINCA website</i></p>
--	---	--

**KINNF Meeting Schedule 2020**

<b>Meeting Month</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Venue</b>
January	29 <sup>th</sup> January 2020	TBC
February	TBC	TBC
March	TBC	TBC